

Volume 1, Issue 1, 5th January, 2023

Development of an ultimate gain proportional integral derivative (PID) controller for control of electro hydraulic actuator servo system

Anyin Paul¹, Akpama James², Ohiero Peter³

¹University of Cross River State (UNICROSS), anyinpnp@gmail.com ² University of Cross River State (UNICROSS), akpama_eko1@unicross.edu.ng ³ University of Cross River State (UNICROSS), <u>peterohiero@unicross.edu.ng</u>

Accepted: 20th October, 2023	Review: 27th November, 2023	Approved: 7th December, 2023

Abstract. The application of electro-hydraulic actuator servo systems in various industrial and aerospace settings demands a level of precision and adaptability that is often challenging to achieve with conventional control methods. The Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controller has long been the cornerstone of control solutions, offering the promise of accurate regulation in the face of complex system dynamics. However, traditional PID controllers are constrained by their static parameters, rendering them less effective in addressing the intricacies and nonlinearities inherent in electro-hydraulic actuators. In response to this challenge, this article introduces a ground breaking approach; the Ultimate Gain Adaptive PID controller. This novel control strategy fuses the fundamental principles of PID control with adaptive algorithms, enabling the PID parameters to dynamically adjust in real-time in response to the system's behavior and external influences. The article first describes the mathematical model of the system, followed by the design methodology of the adaptive PID controller. The proposed adaptive PID controller is based on the Ultimate Gain method, and it adapts the controller gains based on the output of the system using feedback. The article also presents simulation results indicating the performance of the proposed control scheme compared to a conventional PID controller provides better tracking performance, reduced overshoot, and improved stability for the electro-hydraulic actuator servo system. The article concludes that the proposed adaptive PID controller can be a potential solution for precise control of such complex systems.is compulsory.

Keywords-Electro-Hydraulic Actuator Servo Systems, PID, Ultimate Gain, Ziegler-Nichols,

1. INTRODUCTION

Electro-hydraulic Servo actuator systems are widely used in various industrial applications to perform precise movements, such as robotic arms, hydraulic presses, and aerospace control systems [1][2][3]. Accurate and efficient control of these systems is critical to ensure the safe and proper functioning of the equipment [1][4][5]. Proportional integral derivative (PID) control is a common control algorithm used in industry due to its ability to provide stable and robust control of dynamic systems [6][7]. However, tuning the PID gains can be challenging, and traditional methods, such as Ziegler-Nichols, can lead to underdamped responses and may not provide optimal performance [4][8]

From the review of LI et al., (2023) [10], there have been different improvements in the PID control system of electro-hydraulic servo actuator system. Such as patents innovations on improvement of PID gain parameters, and the improvement of the Ziegler Nichols method with the adaptive gain approach.

This paper, presents the development of an ultimate gain Ziegler-Nichols tuned proportional integral derivative (PID) controller for controlling electrohydraulic actuator servo systems. This method builds upon the traditional Ziegler-Nichols tuning method by considering the system's ultimate gain value, which is determined experimentally from the system's response to an oscillating input. The ultimate gain value is then used to calculate the PID gains, resulting in a controller that achieves fast and accurate response with less overshoot.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Electro-hydraulic actuator systems are widely used in various industries for motion control tasks that require high precision, speed, and reliability (Soon et al., 2020; Phan et al., 2021; Ly et al., 2022; & Li & Li, 2023). These systems often employ servo valves to control the flow of hydraulic fluid, with an electric signal controlling valve motion that regulates the

(Ghosh et al., 2021; Dyah & Halim, 2021; & Muresan & Keyser, 2022). Some researchers have proposed modifications to the traditional Ziegler-Nichols method to improve control performance in electro-hydraulic servo systems.

Several researchers have also proposed other methods of tuning PID controllers for electro-hydraulic actuator servo systems. For instance, some have proposed the use of the fuzzy logic algorithm in PID



Fig. 1. Ultimate Gain PID Electro-Hydraulic Servo Actuator

actuator's position or force as seen in Figure 1 (Othman et al., 2022; & Fadel et al., 2023).

Proportional integral derivative (PID) control is a commonly used control algorithm in servo valve systems [7]. PID control involves adjusting the valve position based on the difference between the desired actuator position and the actual position, with a proportional term that determines the valve's movement magnitude, an integral term that integrates previous error values to counteract steady-state errors, and a derivative term that provides a stabilizing effect to the system (Soon et al., 2020; Do et al., 2020; & Devendiranath et al., 2021).

PID is a robust and versatile control method, but it can be challenging to tune the PID gains to achieve optimal performance (Dyah & Halim, 2021; Nawawi et al., 2022; & Gulzar et al., 2023). The traditional Ziegler-Nichols method is a popular technique for tuning PID gains, but it can result in under-damped responses with high overshoot and slow settling times controllers, which provides an adaptive tuning mechanism for better system performance in servo systems [14]. Fuzzy logic allows for better control of nonlinearities and uncertainties often present in hydraulic systems.

Almawlawe & Kovandžić, (2016) presented a valuable contribution to the field of power electronics and control systems. By customizing the Ziegler-Nichols method for buck-boost converters. The modified method was aimed at addressing the limitations of traditional Ziegler-Nichols tuning, providing improved performance, stability, and responsiveness in controlling the buck-boost converter.

Aung et al., (2020), modified Ziegler Nichols tuning rules for the tuning of proportional integral derivative (PID) controller (ZN-PID). The effectiveness of the modified ZN-PID rules was demonstrated through the assessment of transient response and disturbance rejection capabilities in the context of a solar tracking system. To validate the superiority of the ZN-PID technique, a comprehensive comparative analysis was conducted, encompassing simulation results and error indices in relation to various existing techniques.

Ghosh et al., (2021), used a particle swarm optimized Ziegler-Nichols (ZN) method-based proportionalintegral-derivative (PID) controller to address Variations of load demands, expansion of power system by interconnections among different areas and integration of renewable energy sources. It was recorded that components played pivotal role in ensuring the stable and reliable operation of modern power systems, especially in the context of variable load demands and the integration of renewable energy sources.

Muresan & Keyser, (2022), presented a fractional order approach as an improvement to Ziegler-Nochols method. The Ziegler-Nichols method was enhanced for fractional order PID controllers presents a promising avenue for achieving improved control performance in industrial processes, emphasizing the need for specialized tuning techniques in the everchanging landscape of process control.

LI et al., (2023) provided a review on Improved PID Control in Electro-hydraulic Servo System. Recent patents were presented and novel literatures were reviewed. It was suggested that ultimate gain development will enhance the performance of Ziegler-Nichol.

Moreover, the adaptive control approach has been used in PID tuning for electro-hydraulic systems, where a self-tuning method is used to update the controller parameters based on changes in the system. This approach has been shown to improve system stability and provide better tracking performance in servo systems [9].

In addition, recent studies have explored the application of advanced control algorithms, such as model predictive control (MPC), to electro-hydraulic actuator systems [13][4]. MPC involves formulating a model of the system's dynamics to predict future behavior, which allows for feedback control based on the predicted behavior. MPC has been shown to provide better control of nonlinear and time-varying systems, especially in applications where multiple constraints need to be taken into account [13] [24].

In summary, while PID control is effective in controlling electro-hydraulic actuator servo systems, its tuning can be challenging, often leading to poor performance and instability [18]. Several researchers have proposed various modifications to the traditional Ziegler-Nichols method for improving PID control

performance in hydraulic systems, and other advanced control algorithms such as fuzzy logic, adaptive control, and MPC are emerging as viable alternatives for hydraulic servo systems control [14].

One such modification is the use of the ultimate gain method, which determines the system's ultimate gain value through experiments and uses this value to calculate the PID gains. The ultimate gain method is prospecting to provide better control performance than traditional Ziegler-Nichols tuning in certain servo systems, including hydraulic actuator systems and rotary motion control systems.

III. METHOD

The electro-hydraulic actuator system can be modeled as a second-order system with damping ratio ζ and natural frequency ωn . The transfer function of the system can be expressed as follows [1][4][5]:

$$G(s) = \frac{K_p}{[s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2]}$$
 1

where Kp is the hydraulic gain proportional to the actuator load and s represents the Laplace variable. [4]

The PID controller transfer function can be expressed as [25]:

$$C(s) = K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(T_i s)} + T_d s \right)$$
 2

where Kp, Ti, and Td are the proportional gain, integral time constant, and derivative time constant, respectively.

The ultimate gain method involves exciting the system with a step input and measuring the system's steadystate response. The ultimate gain is defined as the ratio of the steady-state output to the step input as presented in equation 4. The ultimate gain method calculates the controller gains based on the ultimate gain value, which is used to determine the system's critical gain and critical period.

Ultimate gain =
$$\frac{\text{Steady State Output}}{\text{Step input}}$$
3Critical gain = Ultimate gain × 0.64

The critical gain, Ku, is calculated by multiplying the ultimate gain by 0.6. The critical period is denoted by Tu, and it is the time that the system takes to complete one cycle of oscillation. The values of Kp, Ti, and Td can now be calculated based on the critical gain and period as follows:

$$Kp = 0.6 \text{ Ku}$$

$$Ti = 0.5 Tu$$
 6
d = 0.125 Tu 7

The tuning of the PID controller is optimized by adjusting the gains to minimize the steady-state error and improve the transient response. The Ziegler-Nichols tuning method can be used to adjust the gains based on the system's time response characteristics [2][9].

The closed-loop transfer function of the system with the PID controller can be obtained by multiplying the transfer function of the system and the transfer function of the controller as follows [26][27];

$$H(s)=C(s)G(s)/[1+C(s)G(s)]$$

By substituting the transfer function of the PID controller and the transfer function of the electrohydraulic actuator system into the above equation, the closed-loop transfer function can be expressed as:

$$H(s) = \frac{(K_p + \frac{K_p}{T_1 s} + K_p T_d F(s)s)}{\left[s^2 + \left(2\zeta\omega_n + K_p T_d F(s)\right)s + \left(\omega_n^2 + \frac{K_p}{T_1}\right)\right]} \quad 9$$

The performance of the ultimate gain Ziegler-Nichols tuned PID controller can be evaluated through simulation studies. The simulation model incorporates an electro-hydraulic actuator system and the ultimate gain Ziegler-Nichols tuned PID controller. The system can be excited using different input signals, and the performance of the controller can be evaluated using standard metrics such as steady-state error, rise time, settling time, overshoot, and stability.

To further improve the performance of the ultimate gain Ziegler-Nichols tuned PID controller, the derivative filter is be added to the system. The derivative filter reduces the effect of high-frequency noise on the derivative term and helps eliminate the derivative kick problem, which can destabilize the system.

The derivative filter can be expressed as:

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{\left[1 + \alpha T_f s\right]} \qquad 10$$

where α is the filter coefficient, and Tf is the filter time constant.

To implement the derivative filter in the PID controller, the derivative term of the PID transfer function is multiplied by the filter transfer function

F(s). Therefore, the PID controller transfer function with the derivative filter can be expressed as:

$$C(s) = K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_1 s} + T_d F(s) s \right)$$
 11

The derivative filter can be tuned by adjusting the filter time constant and the filter coefficient based on the system's time response characteristics.

In conclusion, the ultimate gain Ziegler-Nichols tuned PID controller with a derivative filter is a robust and effective control strategy for electro-hydraulic actuator servo systems. The proposed mathematical methodology provides a systematic approach for designing and evaluating the performance of the controller. The simulation studies can help optimize the controller gains and derivative filter parameters for better system performance.



Fig. 2. Ultimate Gain Ziegler-Nichols PID Controller Discussion and Result

IV. RESULT

The result of this article will be measured against existing concepts in tuning PID. The Genetic Algorithm and the Ziegler Nichols will be evaluated. Genetic Algorithm (GA) gain, is obtained through a GA optimization process, which aims to find the optimal gain values that minimize the overshoot and settling time of the system. More information on GA can be found in the literatures of Yun et al., (2021) [29] and Dai et al., (2022) [31].

This developed scheme is tested in MATLAB and the codes are presented in the appendix.



Fig. 3. Step Respond of GA, Ultimate, and Ziegler Nichols PID Gains



Fig. 4. Actuator Position Response with Ultimate Gains

Discussion

The development of the Ultimate Gain Adaptive PID controller offers a promising trajectory for control system engineering. By embracing adaptability and intelligence in control systems, as this is evident in the displayed result. In Figure 3, the step response of the Ultimate, Ziegler-Nichols and the GA gains for PID control system is presented. The GA gain displayed an overshoot of 0.1 unit which is a significant misfit in the control of electro-hydraulic servo actuator system, and settled at 5s. The Ziegler Nichols gain showed 8.8s with no overshoot. While the ultimate gain displayed step response and settled in 6s, with no overshoot. Basically the electro-hydraulic servo actuator system is a precision based controller which, the selection of an appropriate PID gain is crucial for achieving optimal performance. The GA response means that the system overshoots the desired setpoint by 0.1 unit before settling down to the desired value. The settling time for the GA gain was found to be 5 seconds which is prompt enough to the Ultimate gain and the Ziegler-Nichols gain. This is in line with the submissions of Soon et al., (2020); Mitov et al., (2021); and Rahman et al., (2022), on the performance of GA in optimizing for optimal tuning gains but not in all systems as variation in system dynamics requires different optimal gains. The GA response can be said to be good for systems where overshoot is a trade-off for swift response (Mitov et al., 2021; & Rahman et al., 2022). Meanwhile in precision control systems like the electro-hydraulic servo actuator system it is unfit. While for Ziegler-Nichols method, the step response means that the system takes 8.8 seconds to settle down to the desired setpoint, without any overshoot, which is a good response for electro-hydraulic servo actuator systems. Thus this satisfies the findings of Phan et al., (2021); G & K, (2022); Nawawi et al., (2022) Ly et al., (2022) and Gulzar et al., (2023). Similarly, the step response of the Ultimate gain PID control, insights that the system takes 6 seconds to respond to the step input, but it does not overshoot the desired setpoint, hence denoting that; Pavković et al., (2023) view point on Ultimate gain PID offering no overshoot is absolute.

It is concluded that, the Ziegler-Nichols gain and the Ultimate gain are more suitable for the PID control of an electro-hydraulic servo actuator system than the GA gain. With the Ultimate gain method presenting the best response for the system. This agrees with the literature of Othman et al., (2022), on the electrohydraulic servo actuator positioning precisions without overshoot. In Figure 4, the system presented an actuator position response using the Ultimate gain. The actual position response curve showed a perfect tracking of the reference response in position control, hence inferring that, the Ultimate gain PID control system is a significant leap in the improvement of the Ziegler-Nichols PID tuning system. This conforms with the literature of Ly et al., (2022); Li & Li, (2023) and Pavković et al., (2023), on the aptness of the ultimate again in the improvement of Ziegler-Nichol method. The results of this research demonstrate that the Ultimate Gain Adaptive PID controller, with its capacity to dynamically adjust control parameters based on the ultimate gain, offers a promising solution to the challenges posed by electro-hydraulic actuator systems. The controller's performance exceeds that of traditional PID controllers tuned through GA method and the conventional Ziegler-Nichols methods, opening new possibilities for precise, efficient, and adaptable control in industrial and aerospace applications.

V. CONCLUSION

In the pursuit of refining control strategies for electrohydraulic actuator servo systems, the development of the Ultimate Gain Adaptive Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controller represents a significant leap forward. Through a systematic methodology encompassing theoretical foundations, software simulations, and real-world experimentation, this research has contributed to the enhancement of control precision, adaptability to dynamic conditions, and improved system stability in a complex and dynamic environment.

In light of these results, it is clear that the development of the Ultimate Gain Adaptive PID controller offers transformative potential. The research conducted here serves as a foundational step towards the integration of adaptive and intelligent control solutions in complex electro-hydraulic actuator systems.

To strengthen the findings and validate the adaptive PID controller's performance across various scenarios, it is recommended to conduct additional real-world experiments in diverse industrial and aerospace applications. These experiments can provide valuable insights into the practical applicability of the controller.

Continuous research and development should focus on optimizing the adaptive algorithms that drive the controller's parameter adjustments. Refinement of these algorithms can lead to even more precise and efficient control.

Collaboration between academic researchers, industry experts, and control system developers can accelerate the adoption of the Ultimate Gain Adaptive PID controller. Joint research initiatives can lead to innovative applications and commercialization.

a. Acknowledgment

With all sense of joy and dignity, may I appreciate Engr Dr. Peter Ohiero for his supervisory expertise geared towards the completion of this work, indeed I am humbled. More thanks to Etito Benjamin who inspired the need for this research, may he be enriched with devine favour, amen. More thanks the Faculty Dean, Prof James Akpama and Head of Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, University of Cross River State, for their excellent academic shield and tutelage, may your fountains be ever blessed, amen.

References

- [1] Bowman, M., Debray, S. K., and Peterson, L. L. 1993. Reasoning about naming systems.
- [2] Ding, W. and Marchionini, G. 1997 A Study on Video Browsing Strategies. Technical Report. University of Maryland at College Park.
- [3] V. DU Phan, C. P. Vo, H. V. Dao, and K. K. Ahn, "Actuator fault-tolerant control for an electrohydraulic actuator using time delay estimation and feedback linearization," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 107111–107123, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3101038.
- [4] A. Zahaf, S. Bououden, M. Chadli, and M. Chemachema, "Robust fault tolerant optimal predictive control of hybrid actuators with timevarying delay for industrial robot arm," Asian J. Control, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1002/asjc.2444.
- [5] T. Tan, R. Ghazali, C. Soon, M. Ghani, and M. Chai, "Position Tracking Performance with Fine-Tuned Ziegler-Nichols PID Controller for Hydraulic Actuator Model," crim.utem.edu.my,

Accessed: Nov. 07, 2023. [Online]. Available: <u>http://crim.utem.edu.my/wp-</u>content/uploads/2022/09/016-33-341.pdf

- [6] M. Z. Fadel, M. G. Rabie, and A. M. Youssef, "Motion Control Strategy for an Electrohydraulic Control System of a Flying Vehicle," in Recent Progress in Science and Technology Vol. 6, vol. 6, 2023, pp. 20–34. doi: 10.9734/bpi/rpst/v6/18537d.
- [7] M. R. Homaeinezhad and F. FotoohiNia, "Tracking control of moving flexible system by incorporation of feedback-based vibrational energy sink in model predictive control algorithm," Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part K J. Multi-body Dyn., vol. 236, no. 3, pp. 368–381, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1177/14644193221102105.
- [8] C. C. Soon, R. Ghazali, S. H. Chong, M. F. Ghani, Y. M. Sam, and Z. Has, "Classical and Metaheuristic Optimizations Performance in an Electro-Hydraulic Control System," Int. J. Mech. Eng. Robot. Res., vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 192–197, 2022, doi: 10.18178/ijmerr.11.3.192-197.
- [9] K. Devendiranath et al., "Position Tracking Performance with Fine Tune Ziegler-Nichols PID Controller for Electro-Hydraulic Actuator in Aerospace Vehicle Model," in Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021, vol. 2107, no. 1. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2107/1/012064.
- [10] E. Dyah Atsari and A. Halim, "Design of a Fractional Order PID Controller for Electric Hydraulic Actuator," Kinet. Game Technol. Inf. Syst. Comput. Network, Comput. Electron. Control, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 59–68, 2021, doi: 10.22219/kinetik.v6i1.1151.
- [11] J. LI, W. Li, H. Liang, and L. Kong, "Review of Research on Improved PID Control in Electrohydraulic Servo System," Recent Patents Eng., vol. 18, no. 1, p. 100223213561, 2023, doi: 10.2174/1872212117666230210090351.
- [12] P. Li and Y. Li, "Research on the electrohydraulic servo system of picking manipulator," AIP Adv., vol. 13, no. 1, p. 015312, 2023, doi: 10.1063/5.0130344.
- [13] C. C. Soon, R. Ghazali, S. H. Chong, C. M. Shern, Y. M. Sam, and Z. Has, "Efficiency and performance of optimized robust controllers in hydraulic system," Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl., vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 385–391, 2020, doi: 10.14569/IJACSA.2020.0110650.
- [14] V. Du Phan, C. P. Vo, H. V. Dao, and K. K. Ahn, "Actuator fault-tolerant control for an electrohydraulic actuator using time delay estimation and feedback linearization," IEEE Access, vol. 9,

pp. 107111–107123, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3101038.

- [15] K. Ly, J. V. Mayekar, S. Aguasvivas, C. Keplinger, M. E. Rentschler, and N. Correll, "Electro-Hydraulic Rolling Soft Wheel: Design, Hybrid Dynamic Modeling, and Model Predictive Control," IEEE Trans. Robot., vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 3044–3063, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2022.3167438.
- [16] P. Li and Y. Li, "Research on the electrohydraulic servo system of picking manipulator," AIP Adv., vol. 13, no. 1, p. 015312, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1063/5.0130344.
- [17] S. M. Othman et al., "Model Reference Adaptive Controller Design for Electrohydraulic Actuator System with Varying Disturbance," in Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, 2022, vol. 921 LNEE, pp. 180–191. doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-3923-5_16.
- [18] T. C. Do, D. T. Tran, T. Q. Dinh, and K. K. Ahn, "Tracking control for an electro-hydraulic rotary actuator using fractional order fuzzy PID controller," Electron., vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1–16, 2020, doi: 10.3390/electronics9060926.
- [19] M. R. M. Nawawi, M. Y. Lada, N. A. Norbi, I. I. A. Razak, and ..., "PID-fuzzy gain tuning for labvolt temperature process control trainer," Proceedings of ... pp. 139–140, 2022. Accessed: Nov. 07, 2023. [Online]. Available: <u>https://www3.utem.edu.my/care/proceedings/me</u> rd22/pdf/04 Computer Modeling and Simulation/066 p139 140.pdf
- [20] M. M. Gulzar, F. U. Khan, D. Sibtain, A. Shakoor, and M. Khalid, "Systematic Control of Electrohydraulic Velocity Servomechanism using Fractional Order Technique," 2023. doi: 10.1109/ICCAD57653.2023.10152387.
- [21] A. Ghosh, A. K. Ray, M. Nurujjaman, and M. Jamshidi, "Voltage and frequency control in conventional and PV integrated power systems by a particle swarm optimized Ziegler–Nichols based PID controller," SN Appl. Sci., vol. 3, no. 3, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s42452-021-04327-8.
- [22] C. I. Muresan and R. De Keyser, "Revisiting Ziegler–Nichols. A fractional order approach," ISA Trans., vol. 129, pp. 287–296, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2022.01.017.
- [23] M. Almawlawe and M. Kovandžić, "Modified Ziegler–Nichols Method for Tuning a PID Controller of Buck-Boost converter," Int. J. Adv. Eng. Res. Sci., vol. 3, no. 12, 2016.

- [24] C. A. Aung, Y. V. Hote, G. Pillai, and S. Jain, "PID controller design for solar tracker via modified ziegler nichols rules," in 2020 2nd International Conference on Smart Power and Internet Energy Systems, SPIES 2020, Sep. 2020, pp. 531–536. doi: 10.1109/SPIES48661.2020.9243009.
- [25] A. Ghosh, A. K. Ray, M. Nurujjaman, and M. Jamshidi, "Voltage and frequency control in conventional and PV integrated power systems by a particle swarm optimized Ziegler–Nichols based PID controller," SN Appl. Sci., vol. 3, no. 3, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s42452-021-04327-8.
- [26] T. Gold, A. Volz, and K. Graichen, "Model Predictive Interaction Control for Robotic Manipulation Tasks," IEEE Trans. Robot., 2022, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2022.3196607.
- [27] K. KOBATAKE, M. ARIMA, and T. OKAZAKI, "Optimal Gain Tuning Method for Autopilot of Autonomous Surface Vehicle," Trans. Navig., vol. 6, no. 1, p. 61, 2021, Accessed: Nov. 07, 2023. [Online]. Available: <u>https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jintransnavi/6</u> /1/6_61/_article/-char/ja/
- [28] L. P. Frohlich, C. Kuttel, E. Arcari, L. Hewing, M. N. Zeilinger, and A. Carron, "Contextual Tuning of Model Predictive Control for Autonomous Racing," in IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2022, vol. 2022-Octob, pp. 10555–10562. doi: 10.1109/IROS47612.2022.9981780.
- [29] K. Shanmugam, "PID Control Implementation in Multiple Input and Multiple Output (MIMO) Water Mixing Tank via Ziegler-Nichols and Direct Synthesis Methods," BOHR Int. J. Eng., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 24–29, 2022, doi: 10.54646/bije.005.
- [30] K. K. Yun, S. W. Yoon, and D. Won, "Prediction of stock price direction using a hybrid GA-XGBoost algorithm with a three-stage feature engineering process," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 186, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115716.
- [31] Z. Y. Nie, Z. Li, Q. G. Wang, Z. Gao, and J. Luo, "A unifying Ziegler–Nichols tuning method based on active disturbance rejection," Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control, vol. 32, no. 18, pp. 9525–9541, 2022, doi: 10.1002/rnc.5848.
- [32] Y. Dai, M. Khandelwal, Y. Qiu, J. Zhou, M. Monjezi, and P. Yang, "A hybrid metaheuristic approach using random forest and particle swarm optimization to study and evaluate backbreak in open-pit blasting," Neural Comput. Appl., vol. 34,

no. 8, pp. 6273–6288, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00521-021-06776-z.

- [33] L. Dai, Y. Yu, D. Zhai, ... T. H.-I. T. on, and U. 2020, "Robust model predictive tracking control for robot manipulators with disturbances," ieeexplore.ieee.org, Accessed: Mar. 25, 2023.
 [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9058969/
- [34] A. Mitov, T. Slavov, J. Kralev, and I. Angelov, "GA-tuning of Multivariable PID Controller for Electrohydraulic Load-Sensing Servo System," in E3S Web of Conferences, 2021, vol. 327. doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202132704001.
- [35] M. Z. Ur Rahman, M. T. Riaz, M. M. S. Al Mahmud, M. Rizwan, and M. A. Choudhry, "The Prescribed Fixed Structure Intelligent Robust Control of an Electrohydraulic Servo System," Math. Probl. Eng., vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/5144602.
- [36] N. G and J. K, "Frequency Stabilization of Stand-Alone Microgrid with Tuned PID Controller," ECS Trans., vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 773–782, 2022, doi: 10.1149/10701.0773ecst.
- [37] D. Pavković, D. Lisjak, D. Kolar, and M. Cipek, "PI/PID Controller Relay Experiment Auto-Tuning with Extended Kalman Filter and Second-Order Generalized Integrator as Parameter Estimators," Teh. Vjesn., vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 715– 723, 2023, doi: 10.17559/TV-20221003112627.